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Abstract

Failure to comply with the 10 Standard Fire Orders and identify or properly mitigate the Watch Out Situations continues to be recognized as contributing to most, if not all, wildland fire entrapments. Over the past several years there have been numerous instances where confusion or misunderstanding of the fire orders and watch out situations has been identified during the investigations of wildland fire entrapments. After the South Canyon Fire in 1994, experienced Smokejumpers and Hot Shots claimed that in order to successfully fight fire aggressively they must routinely disregard the Fire Orders and Watch Out Situations. During the investigation after the 30-Mile incident in 2001, young inexperienced firefighters, fresh from training, claimed that nobody really followed all those rules when they fought fire. It is obvious that we continue to experience a collapse in the understanding and application of the 10 Standard Fire Orders and the Watch Out Situations. We need a better system for teaching and applying these tools in wildland fire situations in order to reduce future fire entrapments.

This poster paper outlines a systematic/fluid model for utilizing The 10 Standard Fire Orders in the dynamic fire environment. This poster paper also identifies several Watch Out Situations that overlap or conflict with the 10 Standard Fire Orders and suggests that this overlap causes confusion and should be removed and replaced with situations that have long been identified as having increased risk.
application of the 10 Standard Fire Orders and the Watch Out Situations.

In 2002 the NWCG approved the return to the original Standard Fire Orders in an effort toward using the orders as a process rather than simple memorization of independent directives. This was an important move to assist firefighters in properly applying the Fire Orders to dynamic fire environments. Many firefighters, however, have not yet moved from the realm of memorization to one of dynamic analysis in utilizing the fire orders.

We should teach and utilize the 10 Standard Fire Orders as a systematic/ fluid model for developing and maintaining situational awareness, analyzing the fire environment and appropriately engaging and disengaging the fire. The illustration presented below is an example of such a model. Use of this model should be dynamic and continuous rather than static. For example, if situational awareness deteriorates, firefighters could disengage to their safety zones. When situational awareness is regained, plans could be adjusted if necessary and new plans are then communicated to the firefighters. If appropriate, reengagement could then take place. In another example, the wind has increased and the weather is getting hotter and drier, our current situational awareness indicates that the newly expected fire behavior will render the current escape route ineffective. This information is communicated to crewmembers, supervisors, and adjoining forces. The plan is adjusted to account for anticipated changes in fire behavior. Appropriate escape routes and safety zones are identified and communicated to everyone. Adjustments to the plan are communicated and the new plan is put to work. This model should be taught and used as a continuous process of moving back and forth through the orders to ensure that: (1) Situational Awareness is up to date and accurate, (2) the Plan is safe and effective, (3) firefighters and supervisors know and understand the plan, and (4) the Plan is being worked safely and aggressively.

This model can be utilized at all levels and complexities of fire organizations. It is just as valid for a Type V initial attack as it is on a Type I incident. Incident Management Teams could utilize this model during their operational planning sessions to ensure the operational plans within the incident action plan (IAP) meet all of the 10 Standard Fire Orders. This would also allow Incident Management Teams to plan for putting systems and resources in place to ensure that the fire orders could continue to be met throughout operational periods within a dynamic fire environment.

Several of the Watch Out Situations conflict with the Standard Fire Orders. If the Fire Orders are to be complied with at all times and the Watch Out Situations are indicators of increased risk that can be mitigated, then why are 6 of the Watch Out Situations restatements of Fire Orders? This duplication creates significant confusion for firefighters who are trying to apply these concepts on the fireline. An example of this is the Fire Order: Determine safety zones and escape routes, and the Watch Out Situation: Safety zones and escape routes not identified. On one hand we are telling our firefighters that they cannot engage the fire until safety zones and escape routes have been identified and on the other hand we are telling them that they should be careful when they are fighting fire when safety zones and escape routes have not been identified. With these double messages it is no wonder that firefighters have difficulty buying into the validity of the Fire Orders and Watch Out Situations.
1. Keep informed on FIRE WEATHER conditions and obtain forecasts.
2. Know what your fire is doing at all times.
3. Base all actions on current and expected behavior of the fire.
4. Post lookouts when there is possible danger.
5. Identify escape routes and safety zones and make them known.
6. Give clear INSTRUCTIONS and insure they are understood.
7. Be Alert, Keep Calm, Think Clearly, Act Decisively.
8. Maintain control of your forces at all times.
9. Maintain prompt communications with your forces, your supervisor, and adjoining forces.
10. Fight fire aggressively, having provided for SAFETY first.

As the fire environment changes over time

1. Keep informed on FIRE WEATHER conditions and obtain forecasts.
2. Know what your fire is doing at all times.
3. Base all actions on current and expected fire behavior.
4. Post lookouts when there is possible danger.
5. Identify escape routes and safety zones and make them known.
6. Give clear INSTRUCTIONS and insure they are understood.
7. Be Alert, Keep Calm, Think Clearly, Act Decisively.
8. Maintain control of your forces at all times.
9. Maintain prompt communication with your forces, your supervisors, and adjoining forces.
10. Fight fire aggressively, having provided for SAFETY first.

A systematic/fluid model for utilizing the 10 Standard Fire Orders in the dynamic fire environment
The 6 Watch Out Situations that directly conflict with the Fire Orders should be removed. Specifically:

2. Know what your fire is doing at all times.
   - Fire not scouted and sized up.
   - Cannot see the main fire and not in contact with anyone who can.

3. Base all actions on current and expected BEHAVIOR of the FIRE.
   - Fire not scouted and sized up.
   - Cannot see the main fire and not in contact with anyone who can.

5. Identify escape routes and safety zones and make them known. Safety zones and escape routes are not identified.

6. Give clear INSTRUCTIONS and insure they are understood. Uninformed on strategy, tactics and hazards.
   - Instructions and assignments are not clear.

9. Maintain prompt communication with your forces, your supervisor, and adjoining forces.
   - No communication link with crewmembers or supervisor.

On one hand, under the 10 Standard Fire Orders, we are telling firefighters that they do not engage in firefighting unless they:

- Know what their fire is doing at all times
- Base all actions on current and expected BEHAVIOR of the FIRE
- Identify escape routes and safety zones and make them known.
- Give clear INSTRUCTIONS and insure they are understood.
- Maintain prompt communication with your forces, your supervisor, and adjoining forces.

Yet, on the other hand, under the Watch Out Situations, we are telling firefighters that they are assuming additional risk if they fight fire when:

- The fire is not scouted and sized up
- They cannot see the main fire and are not in contact with anyone who can
- Safety zones and escape routes are not identified
- They are uninformed on strategy, tactics and hazards
- Instructions and assignments are not clear
- They have no communication link with crewmembers or supervisor

This duplication adds unneeded confusion and sends a mixed message within an environment that can be extremely complex by its very nature. In fact, the only reasonable way to mitigate these specific Watch Out Situations is to bring them in line with the 10 Standard Fire Orders. Removing these six Watch Out Situations would allow us to send a strong and clear message to firefighters that in order to engage in firefighting, they must always, without exception:

- Know what their fire is doing at all times
- Base all actions on current and expected BEHAVIOR of the FIRE
- Identify escape routes and safety zones and make them known.
- Give clear INSTRUCTIONS and insure they are understood
• Maintain prompt communication with your forces, your supervisor, and adjoining forces.

The remaining 12 Watch Out Situations are valid as indicators of conditions or circumstances that increase risk during firefighting activities.
1. In country not seen in daylight.
2. Constructing line without safe anchor point.
3. Attempting frontal assault on a fire.
4. Unburned fuel between you and the fire.
5. Building fireline downhill with fire below.
6. On a hillside where rolling material can ignite fuel below.
7. Weather is getting hotter and drier.
8. Wind increases and/or changes direction.
9. Getting frequent spot fires across the line.
10. Terrain and fuels make escape to safety Zones difficult.
11. Taking a nap near the fireline.
12. Unfamiliar with local factors influencing fire behavior.

Please note: I have reworded Watch Out #12 and removed the word weather. Being unfamiliar with the weather is an unacceptable risk and violates one of the 10 Standard Orders. However, there are situations where local factors influence weather and thus fire behavior. This wording reduces confusion and more accurately describes the message we are trying to convey about local factors.

There are 5 additional situations that have been recognized over the years as adding significant risk and contributing to numerous entrapments, injuries and fatalities in wildland fire operations. These Situations should be added to the Watch Outs.
• Working in an area where numerous snags and hazard trees are present.
• Management of the fire is transitioning.
• Driving when fatigued and/or in conditions where darkness, dust and/or smoke make visibility difficult.
• The fire is in the urban interface.
• You have accumulated significant fatigue.

These situations are a good fit into the Watch Outs. They are all conditions that add significant risk to the fire environment. In fact, significantly more serious injuries and fatalities can be attributed to snags and vehicle accidents than fire entrapments. Recently efforts have been made toward safer and more effective transitions of management on incidents; however, there is still a period of time before the new management gains full situational awareness. There are often communications and supervision snafu that must be worked through, and this regularly coincides with escalating fire behavior, creating an environment of significantly elevated risk. Urban interface fires have long been recognized as presenting greater and unique risks to firefighters. As a result of this, an additional list of “Urban Interface Watch Outs” has been created and distributed.

Work/rest guidelines are a topic that has received much discussion since the 30-mile incident. Fatigue is almost always listed as a contributing factor when serious incidents occur on wildland fires. Both physical and mental fatigue can significantly elevate risk in the fire environment. Physical fatigue causes firefighters to move more slowly and with
less coordinated movements, exposing them to greater risk within the hazardous fire environment. Mental fatigue can inhibit our ability to think clearly and correctly analyze a complex situation, leading to impaired decision making. Correctly managing fatigue can significantly mitigate these risks.

The resulting “17” Watch Out Situations are listed below:
1. You are in country you have not seen in daylight.
2. You are constructing line without a safe anchor point.
3. You are attempting a frontal assault on a fire.
4. There is unburned fuel between you and the fire.
5. You are building fireline downhill with fire below.
6. You are on a hillside where rolling material can ignite fuel below.
7. The weather is getting hotter and drier.
8. The wind increases and/or changes direction.
9. You are getting frequent spot fires across the line.
10. The terrain and fuels make escape to safety zones difficult.
11. You feel like taking a nap near the fireline.
12. You are unfamiliar with local factors influencing fire behavior.
13. You are working in an area where numerous snags and hazard trees are present.
14. The management of the fire is transitioning.
15. You are driving when fatigued and/or in conditions where darkness, dust and/or smoke make visibility difficult.
16. The fire is in the urban interface.
17. You have accumulated significant fatigue.

Obviously, an understanding of the process of risk management is essential to fully understand the purpose and usefulness of the Watch Out Situations. Training in risk management techniques must be an integral part of teaching firefighters the Watch Out Situations.

Almost every serious incident and fatality investigation in wildland fire has indicated that several of the 10 Standard Fire Orders were not adhered to and/or several of the Watch Out Situations were not properly addressed. The 10 Standard Fire Orders and the Watch Out Situations are the basics of wildland firefighting. In order to be a safer and more effective wildland firefighting force, we simply need to be better at doing the basics. The recommendations in this paper provide the opportunity to take a fresh look at the basics and learn to do them better.
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